LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

FILED
FEB 6 1969
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1968

No. 130

CHRISTINE SNIADACH,

Petitioner,

v.

FAMILY FINANCE CORPORATION OF BAY VIEW and MILLER HARRIS INSTRUMENT COMPANY,

Respondents.

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO RESPONDENTS' RESPONSE TO THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JACK GREENBERG
JAMES M. NABRIT, III
GABRIELLE A. KIRK
PHILIP G. SCHRAG
10 Columbus Circle
New York, New York 10019

THOMAS M. JACOBSON
110 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202

Attorneys for Petitioner

WILLIAM F. YOUNG, JR.
Of Counsel

In the

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1968

No. 130

Christine Sniadach,

Petitioner,

v.

Family Finance Corporation of Bay View and Miller Harris Instrument Company,

Respondents.

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO RESPONDENTS' RESPONSE TO THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

The legal issue in dispute in this case is squarely presented by the briefs filed by the parties. However, petitioner must reply to a serious factual inaccuracy in respondents' reply.

On page 6 of respondents' brief, it is stated that

> in fact, the State of Wisconsin by legislative action instituted a new garnishment law and procedure so that within a short time the law, as presently on the books, will be radically changed. This is itself a clear indication that the Legislature saw the need for a change and took such action as was necessary to effect the change.

And on page 14 reference is made to

> the new garnishment procedure as proposed by the Wisconsin Legislature. . . .

These passages should not be interpreted to mean that Wisconsin has enacted a new law prohibiting pre-judgment garnishments. Such a bill introduced in the Wisconsin Legislature in 1967, and was referred to in the opinion below of the Wisconsin Supreme Court (A. 32a), but it never reached the floor of the State Senate. A new bill to prohibit pre-judgments garnishments (Assembly Bill 121) was introduced on January 30, 1969 (the date of service of respondents' response), but is obviously far from enactment. Wisconsin's pre-judgment garnishment law is very much in force, and the writ prayed for should be granted so that this Court may judge its constitutionality.

Respectfully submitted,

JACK GREENBERG
JAMES M. NABRIT, III
GABRIELLE A. KIRK
PHILIP G. SCHRAG
10 Columbus Circle
New York, New York 10019

THOMAS M. JACOBSON
110 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202

Attorneys for Petitioner

WILLIAM F. YOUNG, JR.
Of Counsel

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	1
Question Presented	2
Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved	2
Statement	3
How the Federal Question Was Raised and Decided Below	4
Summary of Argument	5
ARGUMENT	
Introduction	7
I. Prejudgment Garnishment Procedure Is Widely Used to Compel Wage Earners to Make Favorable Settlements With Their Alleged Creditors Without Hearing or Trial	8
Garnishment as a Weapon for Collection	10
Garnishment and Personal Bankruptcies	15
II. The Use of Prejudgment Wage Garnishment When It Is Not Needed to Obtain *In Rem* Jurisdiction Deprives Employees of Due Process of Law	18
CONCLUSION	25
APPENDIX	
Statutory Provisions Involved	1a

TABLE OF CASES:

	PAGE
Byrd v. Rector, 112 W.Va. 192, 163 S.E. 845 (1932)	5, 23
Coe v. Armour Fertilizer Works, 237 U.S. 413 (1915)	18
Coffin Brothers v. Bennett, 277 U.S. 29 (1928)	21
Coffin Brothers v. Bennett, 164 Ga. 350, 138 S.E. 670 (1927)	21
Grannis v. Ordean, 234 U.S. 385 (1914)	19
Harris v. Balk, 198 U.S. 215 (1905)	21
Hovey v. Elliot, 167 U.S. 409 (1937)	9
Joint Anti-Fascist Refugee Committee v. McGrath, 341 U.S. 123 (1951)	19
Kelly v. Wyman, —— F. Supp. ——, 37 U.S.L. Week 2324 (S.D. N.Y. 1968)	19
Londoner v. Denver, 210 U.S. 373 (1908)	19
McInnes v. McKay, 127 Me. 110, 141 A. 699 (1928)	22, 23, 24
McKay v. McInnes, 279 U.S. 820 (1928)	22
Morgan v. United States, 304 U.S. 1 (1938)	19
Noble State Bank v. Haskell, 219 U.S. 104 (1911)	24
Ownbey v. Morgan, 256 U.S. 94 (1921)	20, 21, 22
Schroeder v. New York, 371 U.S. 208 (1962)	19
Southern Ry. Co. v. Virginia, 290 U.S. 190 (1933)	19
Windsor v. McVeigh, 93 U.S. 274 (1876)	9

STATUTES:

PAGE

Federal Consumer Credit Protection Act, Sec. 304 13

28 U.S.C. § 1257(3) 2

Nev. Rev. Stat. § 31.010 9

McKinney's Cons. Laws of N. Y., C.P.L.R. § 5252 13

Gen. Stats. of N. C. § 1-440.2-3 9

Ohio Rev. Code § 2715.11 9

Wisc. Stat. Ann. § 267.02 (1967 Pocket Part) 3, 8, 9, 22

Wisc. Stat. Ann. § 267.04 (1967 Pocket Part) 3, 8, 20

Wisc. Stat. Ann. § 267.05 (1967 Pocket Part) 8

Wisc. Stat. Ann. § 267.16 (1967 Pocket Part) 9

Wisc. Stat. Ann. § 267.18 (1967 Pocket Part) 9

OTHER AUTHORITIES:

Annunzio, Testimony to House Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs, Hearing on the Consumer Credit Protection Act (1967) 17

Bare, Testimony to House Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs, Hearings on the Consumer Credit Protection Act (1967) 12, 16

Brunn, Wage Garnishment in California: A Study and Recommendations, 53 Cal. L. Rev. 1214 (1965) 16

Bureau of Labor Statistics, Fact Sheet No. 4-F, Debt Pooling and Garnishment in Relation to Consumer Indebtedness (1966) 16

Caplovitz, The Poor Pay More (1967 ed.) 23

Comment, Wage Garnishment in Washington—An Empirical Study, 43 Wash. L. Rev. 742 (1968) 10, 12, 15

PAGE

Fisher, How Garnisheed Workers Fare Under Arbitration, Monthly Labor Review (Dept. of Labor, May, 1967) 13

Gonzales, Cong. Rec. (Feb. 1, 1968) 24

Halpern, Cong. Rec. (Feb. 1, 1968) 12

Jablonski, Wage Garnishment as a Collection Device, 1967 Wis. L. Rev. 759 ..... 9, 10, 13, 14

Jackson, Testimony to the House Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs, Hearings on the Consumer Credit Protection Act (1967) 16

Jacob, Usage of Wage Garnishment and Bankruptcy Proceedings in Four Wisconsin Cities, address delivered to the American Political Science Association, September, 1966 11

Milwaukee Journal, December 10, 1966, § 1, at 17, col. 4 14

National Industrial Conference Board Studies in Personnel Policy, No. 194 (1964) 13

Note, Garnishment in Kentucky—Some Defects, 45 Ky. L. J. 322 (1956) 13

Patterson, Wage Garnishment—An Extraordinary Remedy Run Amuck, 43 Wash. L. Rev. 735 (1968) 14

President Lyndon Johnson, Message to Congress on Poverty, March 14, 1967 10

Report No. 1040, House Committee on Banking and Currency, 90th Cong., 1st Sess. (1967) 16

Report of the Consumer's Advisory Council, New York City Dept. of Consumer Affairs, on the Uniform Consumer Credit Code (Feb. 3, 1969) 13

Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders (Bantam ed. 1968) 7, 8

PAGE

Reuss, Cong. Rec. (Feb. 1, 1968) 17

Sullivan, Cong. Rec. (Feb. 1, 1968) 15

Warren and Jordan, The Uniform Consumer Credit Code, 68 Colum. L. Rev. 387 (1968) 25

Wirtz, Testimony to the House Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs, Hearings on the Consumer Credit Protection Act (1967) 13

Wisconsin Blue Book (1968) 10